Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,020	MASUDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rudy Zervigon	1763
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Rudy Zervigon.	(3)	
(2) Melvin Kraus.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2005</u>	Time: <u>15:18</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All pending		
Claims discussed: All pending		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER I called Mr. Kraus to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Kranon-final action.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate red 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	by the examiner appears in F	Part II above.
210		,
(Examiner/SPZ Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)